Poultry Preparation has a broad usage among poultry raisers and is guaranteed to give satisfaction, and according to our records it has satisfied over 99% of the poultry raisers who have used it."

Further misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement, "Active Ingredients * * * Potassium Chlorate," was false and misleading since the

article contained no potassium chlorate.

DISPOSITION: October 17, 1945. No claimant having appeared, judgment was entered ordering that the product and circulars be destroyed.

1848. Misbranding of Jaques' Inhalant Spray, Jaques' BCR, and Jaques' Worm Powder. U. S. v. 13 Bottles of Jaques' Inhalant Spray, 13 Bottles of Jaques' BCR, and 2 Cans of Jaques' Worm Powder, together with a number of circulars. Default decree of destruction. (F. D. C. No. 17126. Sample Nos. 19188-H to 19190-H, incl.)

LIBEL FILED: August 25, 1945, District of Minnesota.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about May 28, 1945, by the F. M. Jaques Co., from La Crosse, Wis.

PRODUCT: 13 1-quart bottles of Jaques' Inhalant Spray, 13 1-quart bottles of Jaques' BCR, and 2 7-ounce cans of Jaques' Worm Powder, at Rushford, Minn., together with a quantity of circulars entitled "Information for Treating

Poultry with Jaques Remedies."

Examination disclosed that the Worm Powder consisted essentially of plant material, including Kamala and tobacco, but that it did not contain nux vomica; that the Jaques' BCR consisted essentially of water, potassium dichromate, potassium chlorate, a tarry material such as beechwood creosote or guaiacol, and a small amount of volatile oils, including oil of camphor; and that the Jaques' Inhalant Spray consisted essentially of water, formaldehyde, glycerin, and volatile oils, including oil of camphor.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Jaques' Worm Powder. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the label and in the circulars were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that the article contained nux vomica as one of its active ingredients; and that the article would be effective in the treatment of roundworms and ascarids in poultry. The article contained no nux vomica, and it would not be effective in the treatment of roundworms and ascarids in poultry.

Jaques' BCR. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the label and in the circulars were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that the article, alone or in combination with Jaques' Inhalant Spray, would be effective in the treatment of respiratory diseases of poultry. The article, alone or in combination with Jaques' Inhalant Spray, would not be

effective for such purposes.

Jaques' Inhalant Spray. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the label and in the circulars were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that the article would be effective in the treatment of respiratory diseases of poultry; and that it would be effective in the treatment of coughs in hogs and in the prevention of respiratory diseases of baby chicks. The article would not be effective for such purposes.

Disposition: November 2, 1945. No claimant having appeared, judgment was entered ordering that the products and circulars be destroyed.

1849. Adulteration and misbranding of Nico Sulpho Tablets. U. S. v. 178 Dozen Packages of Nico Sulpho Tablets. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 17299. Sample No. 22978–H.)

LIBEL FILED: August 27, 1945, Western District of Tennessee.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about March 30, 1945, from Winona, Minn., by the J. R. Watkins Co.

Product: 178 dozen 200-tablet packages of Nico Sulpho Tablets at Memphis, Tenn. Examination showed that the product contained 0.79 grain of nicotine sulfate per tablet, a deviation of 21 percent from the declared strength.

LABEL, IN PART: "Nico Sulpho Tablets * * Active Ingredient Nicotine Sulfate (1 grain per tablet)."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the strength of the article differed from that which it purported and was represented to possess since it did not contain 1 grain of nicotine sulfate per tablet.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the following label statements were misleading since the article, when used as directed, would not be effective to produce the

results stated and implied: "For Roundworms in Poultry * * * Roundworms harass poultry and reduce profits. Worm-infested birds have little vitality, are scrawny in appearance and lack resistance to many diseases. Protect your flock from roundworm damage with Watkins Nico Sulpho Tablets."

Disposition: November 20, 1945. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

1850. Misbranding of Semi-Solid Chick Emulsion. U. S. v. 8¾ Dozen Jars, 7
Barrels, and 50 Drums of Semi-Solid Chick Emulsion, and 79 cards.
Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond.
(F. D. C. No. 16349. Sample Nos. 17867–H to 17869–H, incl.)

LIBEL FILED: June 19, 1945, Northern District of Indiana.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: By the Consolidated Products Co., from Danville, Ill. The product was shipped between the approximate dates of February 6 and May 11, 1945, and the cards were sent on or about April 15, 1945.

PRODUCT: 83/4 dozen 2-pound jars, 7 barrels, and 50 100-pound drums of Semi-Solid Chick Emulsion, and 79 cards designated "Feed Turkeys Semi-Solid Chick Emulsion," at Rensselaer, Ind.

Emulsion," at Rensselaer, Ind.

Analysis disclosed that the product consisted essentially of water, casein, mineral salts, lactic acid, and fat, including fish oil.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the cards were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that the article would be effective in controlling the health of turkeys; and that it would be effective in the prevention and treatment of coccidiosis and other diseases of turkeys designated as intestinal troubles. The article would not be effective for such purposes.

Disposition: November 2, 1945. The Consolidated Products Co., claimant, having admitted, for the purpose of this proceeding only, that the product was misbranded and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond for relabeling under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

INDEX TO NOTICES OF JUDGMENT D. D. N. J. NOS. 1801 TO 1850 PRODUCTS

- N. J. No. N. J. No. Antiseptic oil_____ 1817 Hypodermic tablets of epineph-A-Tri-ol Capsules_____ 1837 rine_____ 1821 BF-1 Tablets----1810 Injection preparations. See Pa-Bandages_____ 1823 renteral drugs. Bethiamin______Bevron Tablets______C. C. C. Formula_____ Jaques' Poultry Preparation____ 1819 1837 BCR, Inhalant Spray, and Worm Powder _____ 1845 1848 CeKelp _____Cohosh, blue_____ 1836 Kennedy's Garget Remedy_____ 1846 1822 Laken's 9 Drops_____ 1805 Cosmetics (subject to the drug Laxatives without required warnprovisions of the Act)_____ 1841 ing statements_____ 1808-1810 Liv-Fer-B____ Craemer's Celebrated Compound_ 1834 Luminal Tablets_____ 1803, 1804 Crude drugs_____ 1814, 1822 DMC Compound No. 49_____ Ma-Ta Liquid and Ma-Ta Pow-1829 De-A-Tol Capsules_____ 1837 Mennen Antiseptic Oil Mi-Hair Scalp Medicine Nos. 1 Devices_____ 1824–1826, 1842 Dextrose injection_____ 1819 and 2 and Mi-Hair Shampoo_ Dressings. See Bandages. Nervron Tablets _____ 1837 Epinephrine, hypodermic tablets_ 1821 Nico Sulpho Tablets_____ 1849 Figurene _____ 1827 Ointments_____ 1802, 1812 Finley's Ginseng Compound____ 1832Oscillators_____ 1842 Foot balm_____ Parenteral drugs_ 1818-1820, 1839, 1840 1812 Gauze. See Bandages. Peptulcyl Proteolytic Enzymes__ 1818 Glucose injection_____GT Alterative-Nervine_____ Phenolsulfonphthalein_____ 1819 1820 Pro-B-Ron Capsules_____ 1830 1837 Hair and scalp preparations_ 1802, 1841 Procon Tablets_____ 1838 Hall's Canker Remedy_____ Prophylactics 1824–1826 Reducing devices 1842 1835 Hart's Compound Asthma Medipreparations_____ 1801, 1827, 1828 cine_____ 1813